IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA GAINESVILLE DIVISION

RACHEL C. EDDLEMAN, D.V.M., and EDDLEMAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action File No. 2:23-CV-0078-RWS

v.

PETVET CARE CENTERS (GEORGIA), LLC d/b/a MT. YONAH ANIMAL HOSPITAL,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES

(1) If the defendant is improperly identified, state defendant's correct identification and state whether defendant will accept service of an amended summons and complaint reflecting the information furnished in this disclosure response.

Defendant is properly identified.

(2) Provide the names of any parties whom defendant contends are necessary parties to this action, but who have not been named by plaintiff. If defendant contends that there is a question of misjoinder of parties, provide the reasons for defendant's contention.

Defendant does not contend that any unnamed parties are necessary to this action.

(3) Provide a detailed factual basis for the defense or defenses and any counterclaims or crossclaims asserted by defendant in the responsive pleading.

Defendant denies that it is liable to Plaintiffs for any of the remaining claims set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint after the Court's November 6, 2023 Order on PetVet's Motion to Dismiss.

As to Count One for Breach of the Employment Agreement, PetVet states that under the terms of the Employment Agreement, it had cause to terminate Dr. Eddleman's employment based on her performance as the medical director for Mt. Yonah Animal Hospital. For example, Dr. Eddleman failed to fulfill her job responsibility to properly train the staff working at MYAH, refused to work the contractually obligated number of hours each week, and refused to conduct herself in a professional manner and work effectively with the MYAH staff. The remainder of Count One has been dismissed by the Court's November 6, 2023 Order.

As to Count Two for Breach of the Asset Purchase Agreement, PetVet states that it is still investigating the factual basis for the claim in Paragraph 121 of the Complaint that PetVet spoke "disparagingly about her Dr. Eddleman to multiple members of MYAH staff during her employment . . . by calling her 'emotionally abusive' in a meeting with PVCC leadership and MYAH staff." Assuming that such event did occur, this communication is subject to the intracorporate exception and therefore cannot support a claim for disparagement. Nor does this alleged statement satisfy the contractual requirements for a claim for disparagement as the

statement was not made by members of PetVet's executive management. The remainder of Count Two has been dismissed by the Court's November 6, 2023 Order.

As to Count Three for Breach of the Commercial Lease Agreement, PetVet states that the Property is not in a state of disrepair and that it has satisfied all of its obligations under the Commercial Lease Agreement. Additionally, each alleged concern with the property set forth in the Complaint is either the responsibility of the Landlord or is not included in the category of items for which the Landlord has a right to complain under the Commercial Lease Agreement's repair obligations. Finally, PetVet states that any alleged disrepair is as a result of ordinary wear and tear, and any allegedly excessive disrepair is as a result of the Landlord's poor maintenance of the Property prior to entry of the Commercial Lease Agreement.

As to Count Four for Misuse of Name and/or Likeness, PetVet states that the alleged use of Dr. Eddleman's name cannot support such a claim. Nor can Dr. Eddleman prove that PetVet's alleged use added value to it that otherwise would not have existed without such use.

As to Count Five for Declaratory Judgement as to the scope of the contractual non-compete provisions, this Count has been dismissed by the Court's November 6, 2023 Order.

As to Count Six for Declaratory Judgment as to the scope of the contractual right of inspection, the Court has ruled in its November 6, 2023 Order that Section 20 of the Commercial Lease Agreement does not permit entry for purposes of inspection. As to whether Section 8 of the Commercial Lease Agreement includes an implied right of entry to inspect for repairs and maintenance, PetVet states that this provision includes no such implied right, nor is entry onto the premises for purposes of inspection necessary for the Landlord to fulfill its contractual repair obligations.

As to Count Seven for Attorneys' Fees, PetVet states that because Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover for any of their substantive claims, they are not entitled to recover attorneys' fees under either O.C.G.A. § 13-6-11 or the Asset Purchase Agreement. Additionally, Plaintiffs cannot show that PetVet has acted in bad faith, caused unnecessary trouble, expense or delay, or been stubbornly litigious.

Defendant reserves the right to amend and supplement this response as discovery proceeds.

(4) Describe in detail all statutes, codes, regulations, legal principals, standards and customs or usages, and illustrative case law which defendant contends are applicable to this action.

At this time, Defendant identifies the following statutes, codes, regulations, legal principles, standards and customs or usages, and illustrative case law as being applicable to this action:

- Georgia contract law principles (as contained in Title 13 of the Georgia
 Code and Georgia's common law), including contract canons of construction;
- Georgia statutes and regulations covering veterinary clinics;
- Georgia principles regarding publication of statements and the intracorporate exception, including, as an illustrative example, those set forth in *Atlanta Multispeciality Surgical Assocs., LLC v. DeKalb Med.* Ctr., Inc., 273 Ga. App. 355 (2005);
- Georgia misappropraition of likeness and privacy principles, including
 those set forth in *Pavesich v. New England Life Insurance Co.*, 50 S.E. 68
 (Ga. 1905) and subsequent cases;
- O.C.G.A. § 13-6-11; and
- all authorities relied on by Plaintiff.

Defendant further reserves the right to amend and supplement as discovery proceeds.

(5) Provide the name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information that you may use to support your claims or defenses, unless solely for impeachment, identifying the subjects of the information. (Attach witness list to Initial Disclosures as Attachment A.)

See Attachment A.

(6) Provide the name of any person who may be used at trial to present evidence under Rules 702, 703, or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. For all experts described in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B), provide a separate written report satisfying the provisions of that rule. (Attach expert witness list and written reports to Responses to Initial Disclosures as Attachment B.)

See Attachment B.

(7) Provide a copy of, or a description by category and location of, all documents, data compilations or other electronically stored information, and tangible things in your possession, custody, or control that you may use to support your claims or defenses unless solely for impeachment, identifying the subjects of the information. (Attach document list and descriptions to Initial Disclosures as Attachment C.)

See Attachment C.

(8) In the space provided below, provide a computation of any category of damages claimed by you. In addition, include a copy of, or describe by category and location of, the documents or other evidentiary material, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered, making such documents or evidentiary material available for inspection and copying as under Fed.R.Civ.P. 34. (Attach any copies and descriptions to Initial Disclosures as Attachment D.)

See Attachment D.

(9) If defendant contends that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in part, liable to the plaintiff or defendant in this matter, state the full name, address, and telephone number of such person or entity and describe in detail the basis of such liability.

N/A.

(10) Attach for inspection and copying as under Fed.R.Civ.P. 34 any insurance agreement under which any person carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment which may be entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to

satisfy the judgment. (Attach copy of insurance agreement to Initial Disclosures as Attachment E.)

Defendant is not aware of any insurance agreement relevant to this matter.

Attachment A to Defendant's Initial Disclosures

Astrid Ivie

c/o Plaintiff's counsel Bondurant Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 3900 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-881-4100

This witness may have information about the maintenance and repair at MYAH including the laws and regulations governing veterinary clinics and Dr. Eddleman's working relationships with staff at MYAH and working relationships with employees of PetVet.

Ann Lloyd

c/o Plaintiff's counsel Bondurant Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 3900 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-881-4100

This witness may have information about Dr. Eddleman's job performance as an employee of PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's transition of ownership to PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's working relationships with staff at MYAH and working relationships with employees of PetVet.

Tricia Rentz

c/o Plaintiff's counsel Bondurant Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 3900 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-881-4100

This witness may have information about Dr. Eddleman's job performance as an employee of PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's transition of ownership to PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's working relationships with staff at MYAH and working relationships with employees of PetVet.

Jessica Taylor

c/o Plaintiff's counsel Bondurant Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 3900 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-881-4100

This witness may have information about the maintenance and repair at MYAH including the laws and regulations governing veterinary clinics and Dr. Eddleman's working relationships with staff at MYAH and working relationships with employees of PetVet.

Erin Vickery

c/o Plaintiff's counsel Bondurant Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 3900 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-881-4100

This witness may have information about Dr. Eddleman's job performance as an employee of PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's transition of ownership to PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's working relationships with staff at MYAH and working relationships with employees of PetVet.

Steve Youd

c/o Plaintiff's counsel Bondurant Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 3900 Atlanta, GA 30309 404-881-4100

This witness may have information about Dr. Eddleman's job performance as an employee of PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's transition of ownership to PetVet, Dr. Eddleman's working relationships with staff at MYAH and working relationships with employees of PetVet.

All the witnesses identified by the Plaintiffs.

Defendant has not completed their investigation, and there may be individuals who have information whose identities have not yet been ascertained; conversely, some of the listed individuals may turn out to have no relevant, non-duplicative information. These initial disclosures are made without waiver of, or prejudice to, privilege, work product, or other protection, burden, confidentiality, or admissibility.

Attachment B to Defendant's Initial Disclosures

Defendant has not yet determined which expert witnesses may be used at trial. Defendant will supplement this response at a later date as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, and any Scheduling Order entered by this Court.

Attachment C to Defendant's Initial Disclosures

- 1. All contracts or agreements executed between Plaintiffs and Defendant.
- 2. Documents relating to the maintenance and upkeep of MYAH, both before and after Dr. Eddleman sold her veterinary practice to Defendant.
- 3. Correspondence between Plaintiffs and Defendant relating to Dr.

 Eddleman's performance as an employee, including but not limited to her refusal to work the minimum number of hours required under her employment agreement and her inability to conduct herself in a professional manner and work effectively with the staff of MYAH.
- Correspondence between Plaintiffs and Defendant relating to Dr.
 Eddleman's failure to timely transfer access to email and other electronic records relating to MYAH.
- 5. All documents Plaintiffs produce to Defendant in this case.

Documents in the custody or control of Plaintiffs or others are not listed.

Defendant reserves the right to supplement this list as discovery proceeds.

Defendant's identification of categories of documents is not a representation that any particular document exists within Defendant's possession, custody, or control.

Defendant's initial disclosures are made without waiver of, prejudice to, any work product, or other protection, burden, confidentiality, or admissibility.

Attachment D to Defendant's Initial Disclosures

At this time, Defendant has not asserted a counterclaim. In the event Defendant asserts a counterclaim, Defendant will timely supplement its response to identify its damages. Defendant further reserves the right to amend and supplement Attachment D as discovery proceeds.

Signature follows on the next page.

This 22nd day of March, 2024.

/s/ Tiana S. Mykkeltvedt
Tiana S. Mykkeltvedt
Georgia Bar No. 533512
mykkeltvedt@bmelaw.com
Solesse L. Altman
Georgia Bar No. 442827
altman@bmelaw.com
BONDURANT MIXSON &
ELMORE LLP
1201 W. Peachtree St., Suite 3900
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Counsel for Defendant PetVet Care Centers (Georgia), LLC d/b/a Mt. Yonah Animal Hospital

404-881-4100

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of March, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel of record through the Court's electronic filing system.

/s/ Tiana S. Mykkeltvedt Tiana S. Mykkeltvedt Georgia Bar No. 533512 mykkeltvedt@bmelaw.com